## REMARKS

Claims 1-35 are pending. Claims 1-35 were rejected. Claims 1-32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Edirisooriya (2003/0195939A1), hereinafter Edi. Claims 33-35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edi.

Edi describes a multiprocessor system that "includes a plurality of processors 12 and 14 that are communicatively coupled via an interconnection network 16. The processors 12 and 14 are implemented using any desired processing unit ... The interconnection network 16 is implemented using any suitable shared bus or other communication network or interface that permits multiple processors to communicate with each other and, if desired, with other system agents such as, for example, memory controllers ... Additionally, the processors 12 and 14 respectively include caches 22 and 24, cache controllers 26 and 28 and request queues 30 and 32." (Paragraphs 12-14) Edi also describes use of the MSI, MESI and MOESI protocols "to eliminate unnecessary data transfers between processors." (Paragraph 33) Edi does not mention multiple processor clusters and only describes multiple processors connected over an interconnection network such as a shared bus.

The Examiner argues that Edi states "persons of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the multiprocessor system 10 may include additional processors or agents that are also communicatively coupled via the interconnection network 16, if desired." (Paragraph 18) Edi mentions that "while the interconnection network 16 is preferably implemented using a hardwired communication medium, other communication media, including wireless media, could be used instead." (Paragraph 13) The only example of an interconnection network Edi provides is a "shared bus." (Paragraphs 3 and 13)

Having multiple processors connected over an interconnection network does not teach or suggest clusters of processors. The independent claims 1, 16, 26 and 33 all recite a 'home cluster" including a "plurality of processing nodes" and a "remote cluster" including a "plurality of processing nodes." A home cluster and a remote cluster are described throughout the present application and examples are depicted throughout in the Figures, e.g. Figures 1A, 1B, 2, 11, and 12 and associated description. Edi does not teach or suggest any home cluster or remote cluster. Furthermore, Edi does not teach or suggest any home cluster including a plurality of processing nodes or any remote cluster including a plurality of processing nodes. The Examiner argues that

Application No.: 10/608,846

MAR. 3. 2006-12:38PM 5106630920 NO. 339 P. 9/10

a remote cluster is "other processing nodes 14" shown in Figure 1 of Edi. The Applicants respectfully disagree. Edi merely depicts a conventional architecture in Figure 1 and associated description with a processor 12 connected to a processor 14 over a shared bus 16. No clusters are shown. No clusters of processing nodes are shown. Even if we were to hypothetically add numerous additional processors to the Edi system, nothing in Edi teaches or suggests separating the processors into processor clusters. The flows charts in Figures 2 and 3 and the associated description merely depict interactions between multiple processors connected over a bus 16. Figures 4a-4d and associated description also similarly only show "various states through which the multiprocessor system 10 shown in FIG. 1 progresses." No clusters are depicted, taught, or even suggested.

Independent claim 1 also recites "the first plurality of processing nodes and the home cache coherence controller interconnected in a point-to-point architecture." Even though independent claims 16, 26, and 33 are believed patentable in their current form, independent claims 16, 26, and 33 have been amended to recite a plurality of request cluster processing nodes "and a request cache coherence controller interconnected in a point-to-point architecture." Edi only describes processors connected using a shared bus or interconnection network. A shared bus or interconnection network does not teach or suggest processing nodes and a cache coherence controller interconnected in a point-to-point architecture.

Furthermore, point-to-point links and clusters are not obvious modifications of a conventional architecture such as the one described in Edi. "By using point-to-point links instead of a conventional shared bus or external network, multiple processors are used efficiently in a system sharing the same memory space. Processing and network efficiency are also improved by avoiding many of the bandwidth and latency limitations of conventional bus and external network based multiprocessor architectures. According to various embodiments, however, linearly increasing the number of processors in a point-to-point architecture leads to an exponential increase in the number of links used to connect the multiple processors. In order to reduce the number of links used and to further modularize a multiprocessor system using a pointto-point architecture, multiple clusters are used." (page 6, lines 24-32)

In addition, claim 1 recites "identify a processing node from the second plurality of processing nodes that owns a cache line corresponding to the probe, and send a targeted probe to the processing node" and claims 16, 26, and 33 recite "identifying owning node information...

Application No.: 10/608,846

MAR. 3. 2006-12:38PM 5106630920 NO. 339 P. 10/10

[and] maintaining owning node information associated with the home cluster." The Examiner argues that Edi describes determining whether the cache block associated with a request is in an owned state. However, Edi does not teach or suggest identifying the processing node that owns the cache line. Conventional systems such as Edi only provides MEOSI state information for a particular cache line and do not identify the node or processing node owning the cache line.

The techniques of the present invention recognize that this is beneficial for a variety of reasons. For example, "a coherence directory is used to eliminate the transmission of a request to a memory controller in a home cluster. A coherence directory can also be used to more accurately send targeted probes. In one example, only a node owning a particular memory line needs to be probed. Information can be added to probe requests and probes to identify the owning node and allow probes to be directed only at owning nodes in a given cluster." (page 9, lines 1-6)

Consequently, the rejections to independent claims 1, 16, 26, and 33 are believed overcome. In light of the above remarks relating to independent claims the remaining dependent claims are believed allowable for at least the reasons noted above. Applicants believe that all pending claims are allowable and respectfully request a Notice of Allowance for this application from the Examiner. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the undersigned can be reached at the telephone number set out below.

Respectfully submitted,

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

Godfrey K. Kwan

Reg. No. 46,850

P.O. Box 70250 Oakland, CA 94612-0250 (510) 663-1100